

Examples Sheet 3

1. Schur's Lemma is "Let D be an irreducible representation of a (Lie) group G acting on a complex vector space V . Let A be an operator acting on V which commutes with the action of G , that is, $AD(g) = D(g)A$ for all $g \in G$. Then $A = \lambda I_V$, where λ is a constant and I_V is the unit operator."

Prove this by showing that any eigenspace of A is an invariant subspace of V , and that there is therefore precisely one eigenspace of A which is the whole of V , and that this gives the desired result.

2. The following multiplication rule will be useful in this question (cf. Sheet 1, 3c):

$$(aI + \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(a'I + \mathbf{b}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (aa' + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}')I + (a\mathbf{b}' + a'\mathbf{b} + i\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{b}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

- (a) Show how $B(\psi, \mathbf{n}) \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where

$$B(\psi, \mathbf{n}) = I \cosh \frac{\psi}{2} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \sinh \frac{\psi}{2}, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1,$$

corresponds to a Lorentz boost with velocity $\mathbf{v} = \tanh \psi \mathbf{n}$.

- (b) Show that

$$\left(I + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}\right) B(\psi, \mathbf{n}) = B(\psi', \mathbf{n}') R,$$

where, to first order in $\delta \mathbf{v}$,

$$\psi' = \psi + \delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{n} + \coth \psi [\delta \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v})],$$

and R is an infinitesimal rotation given by

$$R = I + \frac{i}{2} \tanh \frac{\psi}{2} (\delta \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = I + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} (\delta \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \gamma = (1 - \mathbf{v}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- (c) Show that we must have $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} + \delta \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v})$.
 (d) By considering boosts by velocities \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} followed by boosts by $-\mathbf{v}, -\mathbf{w}$, find a physical interpretation of this question.

3. A field $\phi(x)$ transforms under the action of a Poincaré transformation (Λ, a) such that $U[\Lambda, a]\phi(x)U[\Lambda, a]^{-1} = \phi(\Lambda x + a)$. For an infinitesimal transformation, $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu$ and correspondingly (in physics conventions) $U[\Lambda, a] = 1 - i\frac{1}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} - ia^\mu P_\mu$.

- (a) Show that

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, \phi(x)] = -i(x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) \phi(x), \quad [P_\mu, \phi(x)] = i\partial_\mu \phi(x).$$

(b) Verify that $M_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i(x_\mu\partial_\nu - x_\nu\partial_\mu)$ and $P_\mu \rightarrow -i\partial_\mu$ satisfy the algebra for $[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\sigma\rho}]$ and $[M_{\mu\nu}, P_\sigma]$ expected for the Poincaré group.

4. Consider the little group with standard momentum $k^\mu = (\ell, 0, 0, \ell)$, for some fixed $\ell > 0$, that is, the subgroup of proper, orthochronous Lorentz transformations which leaves k^μ invariant.

(a) Show how the generators of the little group are related to the generators of $SO(1, 3)^\uparrow$. [Hint: It will be convenient to define $E_1 := K_1 - J_2$ and $E_2 := K_2 + J_1$.] Find the structure constants of the corresponding Lie algebra and determine whether it is semisimple. [Note: this group is $ISO(2)$, the isometry group of the plane, or the 2-dimensional Euclidean group.]

(b) Prove that, for appropriately normalized generators,

$$e^{\theta J_3}(a_1 E_1 + a_2 E_2)e^{-\theta J_3} = \alpha_1(\theta)E_1 + \alpha_2(\theta)E_2,$$

where $\theta, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\theta) \\ \alpha_2(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) Defining a unitary operator $O[\theta, a_1, a_2] = e^{a_1 E_1 + a_2 E_2} e^{\theta J_3}$, show that

$$O[\theta', a'_1, a'_2] O[\theta, a_1, a_2] = O[\theta' + \theta, \alpha_1(\theta') + a'_1, \alpha_2(\theta') + a'_2].$$

Deduce from this that $ISO(2)$ is isomorphic to $SO(2) \times T^2$, where T^2 is the 2-dimensional translation group.

(d) Take $|k, e_1, e_2\rangle$ to be an eigenvector of E_1 and E_2 with eigenvalues e_1 and e_2 , respectively. Show that there are a continuum of eigenvalues for E_1 and E_2 . Given that massless states like neutrinos do not have a continuous internal degree-of-freedom, what does that imply about physically allowed values e_1 and e_2 ?

5. Show that there is a choice of basis for $L(SO(4))$ consisting of 4×4 antisymmetric matrices that contain precisely two non-zero entries: 1 and -1 . Evaluate the commutation relations of these generators. By choosing a new basis consisting of sums and differences of pairs of $L(SO(3))$ generators, show that $L(SO(4)) \cong L(SO(3)) \oplus L(SO(3))$.

6. Let $\{T^i_j\}$ be $n \times n$ matrices such that T^i_j has a 1 in the i 'th row and j 'th column and is zero otherwise.

(a) Show that they satisfy the Lie algebra

$$[T^i_j, T^k_l] = \delta^k_j T^i_l - \delta^i_l T^k_j.$$

(b) Define $X = T^i_j X^j_i$ with arbitrary components X^j_i . Determine the adjoint matrix $(X^{\text{ad}})^n_{m, k, l}$ by

$$[X, T^k_l] = T^m_n (X^{\text{ad}})^n_{m, k, l},$$

and show that

$$\kappa(X, Y) = \text{Tr}(X^{\text{ad}} Y^{\text{ad}}) = 2(n \sum_{i,j} X^j_i Y^i_j - \sum_i X^i_i \sum_j Y^j_j).$$

- (c) Show that $1 + \epsilon X \in U(n)$ for infinitesimal ϵ if $(X^{j_i})^* = -X^{i_j}$.
 (d) Hence show that in this case

$$\tilde{\kappa}(X, X) = -2n \sum_{i,j} |\hat{X}^j_i|^2, \quad \hat{X}^j_i = X^j_i - \frac{1}{n} \delta^j_i \sum_k X^k_k,$$

and therefore $\kappa(X, X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X^{\text{ad}} = 0$.

- (e) What restrictions must be made for $SU(n)$ and verify that in this case the generators satisfy $\kappa(X, X) < 0$ so the group is semi-simple?

7. For a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , with elements X_a such that $[X_a, X_b] = f_{abc} X_c$ where f_{abc} is totally antisymmetric, let \tilde{T}_a be matrices forming a basis for representation R of \mathfrak{g} , and assume $\tilde{T}_a \tilde{T}_a = C_R I$. Define

$$\langle X_a, X_b \rangle = \text{Tr}(\tilde{T}_a \tilde{T}_b) \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{C_R \dim R}.$$

- (a) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Evaluate $\langle J_3, J_3 \rangle$ in the j -th irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and show that the result is independent of j .
 (b) For $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ show that the Gell-Mann representation, $\tilde{T}_a = \frac{i}{2} \lambda_a$, where the Gell-Mann matrices λ_a are given below, gives the same value for $\langle X_a, X_b \rangle$ as does the adjoint representation $(T_a^{\text{ad}})_{bc} = f_{abc}$.

[The Gell-Mann matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_8 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) It can be shown that the Killing form on a simple Lie algebra is the unique ad-invariant, symmetric bilinear form, up to an overall scalar multiple. How do you interpret your calculations above in relation to this fact?
8. The Lie algebra of $U(n)$ may be represented by a basis consisting first of the $n^2 - n$ off-diagonal matrices $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$ for $i \neq j$ and also the n diagonal matrices $(h_i)_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{kl}$, (no sum on k), where $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. For $SU(n)$ it is necessary to restrict to traceless matrices given by $h_i - h_j$ for some i, j . The $n - 1$ independent $h_i - h_j$ correspond to the Cartan subalgebra.

- (a) Show that

$$[h_i, E_{jk}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}) E_{jk}, \quad [E_{ij}, E_{ji}] = h_i - h_j \quad (\text{no summation convention}).$$

- (b) Let \mathbf{e}_i be orthogonal n -dimensional unit vectors, $(\mathbf{e}_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$. Show that E_{ij} is associated with the root vector $\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$.
 (c) Hence show that there are $n(n - 1)$ root vectors belonging to the $n - 1$ dimensional hyperplane orthogonal to $\sum_i \mathbf{e}_i$.

(d) Verify that we may take as simple roots

$$\alpha_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \alpha_2 = \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_i = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_{n-1} = \mathbf{e}_{n-1} - \mathbf{e}_n,$$

by showing that all roots may be expressed in terms of the α_i with either positive or negative integer coefficients.

(e) Determine the Cartan matrix and write down the corresponding Dynkin diagram. [You may assume the Killing form is diagonal.]

Please e-mail me at M.Wingate@damtp.cam.ac.uk with any comments, especially any errors.